

Government of India
Ministry of Urban Development
AMRUT Division

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi


Dated: 2nd January, 2017

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Minutes of 15th Meeting of Apex Committee under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) regarding.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith the minutes of 15th meeting of Apex Committee held under the Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) on 21.12.2016 for information and necessary action.

Encls. As above.


(Shiv Pal Singh)
Director (AMRUT)

Telephone: 011-23062399

To,

1. Secretary (Department of Expenditure).
2. Secretary (Department of Economic Affairs).
3. Principal Advisor (HUD), NITI Ayog
4. Secretary (Drinking Water & Sanitation).
5. Secretary (Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation).
6. Secretary (Environment, Forest & Climate Change).
7. Additional Secretary (UD), MoUD.
8. Additional Secretary (SC), MoUD.
9. Joint Secretary (PF-II), Department of Expenditure.
10. Joint Secretary & FA, MoUD.
11. Joint Secretary (SBM), MoUD.
12. OSD (UT), MoUD.
13. Adviser (CPHEEO).
14. Chief Planner, TCPO, Vikas Bhawan, IP Estate, New Delhi.
15. Director, NIUA, India Habitat Centre, New Delhi.
16. Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Environment Department, Govt. of Madhya Pradesh, Ballav Bhawan, Mantralaya, Bhopal, MP-462004.
17. Principal Secretary (UD), Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department, Room No. 105, L-Block, Ground Floor, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad - 500 022.
18. Special Secretary, Housing & Urban Development Department, Government of Odisha.

Contd/.

19. Secretary Local Government-cum-Home Secretary, Chandigarh Administration, Union Territory of Chandigarh - 160009.
20. Pr. Secretary (UD), Urban Development Department, Govt. of West Bengal, Nagarayan, Sector-I, Block-DF-8, Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata-700064.
21. Secretary (UD), O/o Secretary- Urban Development, Secretariat, Porvorim-403521, Goa.
22. Secretary, Housing and Urban Development, Govt. of Jammu & Kashmir, Civil Secretariat, Mini Block, Srinagar-190001.
23. Additional Chief Secretary, Urban Affairs Department, Government of Meghalaya, Addl. Secretariat, R.No.412, Shillong 793001.
24. Secretary, UD & PA Department, Government of Mizoram.
25. Secretary (UD) / Director of Local Bodies (DLB), C-Wing, 9th floor, Delhi Secretariat, Delhi-110002.

Copy to:

- i. PSO to Secretary (UD)
- ii. PS to JS (UD & A),
- iii. PS to Media Advisor in Office of UDM
- iv. PS to Director (AMRUT)
- v. Sr. Technical Director (NIC), MoUD, Nirman Bhawan (with request to upload the minutes on the AMRUT website)

Minutes of the 15th Meeting of Apex Committee of Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) held on 21.12.2016

The List of Participants is annexed.

The Joint Secretary and Mission Director welcomed Secretary (Urban Development) & other members of Apex Committee and the officers from the State governments.

He outlined the Agenda for the meeting, namely consideration of the third round of SAAPs of 10 States/Union Territories of Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Jammu & Kashmir, Odisha, Chandigarh, Goa, Mizoram and Meghalaya and Puducherry and the second SAAP for (2016-17) of Delhi.

2. The Committee reviewed the status of implementation of projects and reforms under the previous SAAPs approved under the Mission so far. The detailed status of implementation of projects under AMRUT is as below:

AMRUT Mission Progress: All India (Amt. in Rs. crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
20774	24916	7036	34	18678	41

3. The Joint Secretary & Mission Director recapitulated the benchmarks set by the Committee at its 14th Meeting held on 11.2016, which must have been achieved by the States and UTs at the time of consideration of their 3rd SAAP:

- i. Projects amounting to at least 25% against SAAP I to be contracted.
- ii. Projects amounting to at least 50% of total approvals (SAAP I & II) to have the DPRs approved.
- iii. 100% of Central fund and corresponding State share against the SAAP-I and SAAP-II to be transferred to the State Mission Directorate/ ULBs/ Parastatals (as the case may be).
- iv. PDMC should have been appointed and be in place.
- v. Regarding credit rating, work must be awarded for all Mission Cities and credit rating targeted to be completed by March 2017.
- vi. Satisfaction of the progress and assurance regarding adoption of Model Building Byelaws, circulated by the Ministry w.r.t its 14 essential features by January, 2017.

In respect of the States & UTs not meeting the above conditions listed at no i to v, approval of the third and final SAAP will be granted upon achieving these milestones.

In respect of conditions at v and vi, progress will be reviewed as per the timelines given at the time of release of funds.

The Joint Secretary & Mission Director also advised that all states and ULBs in particular must move towards cashless payments and receipts and promote the same in their jurisdiction.

4. Secretary(UD) mentioned that that these basic conditions explained by the Mission Director could have been stringent. The 1st SAAP was approved in last quarter of 2015-16 and at this stage all the projects should have been awarded. Simple conditions like 25% of the projects to be contracted have been set in order to bring some discipline in the whole process. The entire purpose of fast tracking approval process is to enable States to Plan holistically and commence work in shortest possible time so that the Mission objectives are achieved. There should be similar fast tracking in the States and the projects should move on the ground. If Central Assistance does not devolve and State contribution is not released project implementation would suffer.

Secretary (UD) emphasised that henceforth, a major area of focus would be Reforms. He stated that MoUD will not be in a position to meet the aspiration of people unless greater importance to push Reform agenda is made. In 2015-16 the reform conditions were rudimentary or simple in nature. Focus will be on implementation of projects and release of funds will be linked to Reforms. Referring to the delay in issue of construction permits which affected the Country's image globally, he enquired about the status of online issue of such permits in the States. The State Govt representatives of Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh gave a detailed position in these States. He emphasised the need for expeditious implementation of the Reform as these impact governance and revenues.

With these opening remarks, he invited the States to present their progress and the third SAAP as per the agenda.

Agenda Item No. 1

Madhya Pradesh					
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
1655.81	2050.90	1867	100	2418	65
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released: 134.41		Central Share transferred: 134.41		
	State Share due: 151.17		State Share transferred: 151.17		
SAAP II	Central Share released:172.56		Central Share transferred: 172.56		
	State Share due: 201.98		State Share transferred: 201.98		
Summary of SAAP III (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
SAAP Size			Rs 2493.95 Cr		
CA			Rs. 1058.03 Cr		
States Share			Rs 1435.92 Cr		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	23	24	30	9	20
Amount (Rs.Cr)	593.92	1578.81	63.94	139.88	117.40
Summary of Major Reforms:					
Credit Rating					
No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done	Mission Cities for which work awarded	Mission Cities for which work completed		
34	34	34	2		

Energy Efficiency				
No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights	
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others
34		Under Process		Under Process
Comments of IFD				
<p>The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. The state will be required to drop projects to bring down Central share in the total projects up to the Central share allocated for the entire Mission period.</p> <p>The release of funds against the SAAP will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.</p>				
Decision of Apex Committee				
<p>The Apex Committee, noted that the SAAP III proposal of the State was in order, and that the State Government had fulfilled all the conditions precedent to the approval of the SAAP III including appointment of PDMC. Secretary (UD) observed that the State has done very well. Accordingly, the SAAP III of the State was approved.</p>				

Agenda item No 2

Andhra Pradesh					
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
662.86	877.05	87.55	13	883	57
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released:67.83		Central Share transferred: 65.64		
	State Share due: 26.52		State Share transferred: 26.12		
SAAP II	Central Share released:70.32		Central Share transferred: Nil		
	State Share due: 29.29		State Share transferred: Nil		
Summary of SAAP III (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
SAAP Size			1350.26		
CA			404.61		
States Share			945.65		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	21	17	96	07	-
Amount (Rs.Cr)	863.14	260.64	31.90	194.58	-
Summary of Major Reforms:					
Credit Rating					
No. of Mission	Mission Cities for which	Mission Cities for	Mission Cities for	Mission Cities for which	

Cities	Credit Rating being done	which work awarded	work completed	
32	16	12	16	
Energy Efficiency				
No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights	
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others
32	21	21	32	32
Comments of IFD				
Central Assistance will not be more than the Central allocation and accordingly State need to select the project as per allocation. State Govt. must ensure that the objective of the Mission achieved. Release of CA depends upon the availability of funds.				
Decision of Apex Committee				
The Apex Committee considered the SAAP III proposal of the State and noted that the size of the SAAP submitted by the State government is beyond the eligible SAAP size and decided to defer the same with a request to the State Government that they may submit revised SAAP with appropriate size as per the Mission Guidelines.				

Odisha					
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
461.30	530.40	144.25	31	771	83
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released:45.63			Central Share transferred: 45.63	
	State Share due:45.63			State Share transferred:45.63	
SAAP II	Central Share released:53.04			Central Share transferred: 53.04	
	State Share due:53.04			State Share transferred:53.04	
Summary of SAAP III					
SAAP Size	607.26				
CA	303.63				
States Share	303.63				
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	36	4	15	-	-
Amount (Rs.Cr)	507.68	84.40	15.18	-	-
Summary of Major Reforms:					
Credit Rating					
No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done	Mission Cities for which work awarded	Mission Cities for which work completed		
09	9	9	7		
Energy Efficiency					

No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights	
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission tied up with EESL/ others
09	9	9	9	9
Comments of IFD				
<p>The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. The state will be required to drop projects to bring down Central share in the total projects up to the Central share allocated for the entire Mission period.</p> <p>The release of funds against the SAAP will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.</p>				
Decision of Apex Committee				
<p>The Apex Committee noted that the SAAP III proposal of the State was in order and that the State Government has fulfilled all the conditions precedent to its approval including appointment of PDMC. Accordingly, SAAP III of the State was approved.</p>				

Agenda item No 4

Chandigarh					
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
15.04	18.00	23.57	100	34.49	100
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released:3.01		Central Share transferred: 3.01		
SAAP II	Central Share released:3.60		Central Share transferred: Nil		
Summary of SAAP III					
SAAP Size			62.026		
CA			21.05		
UT Share			0		
Convergence			40.976		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	1	1	1	-	-
Amount (Rs.Cr)	1.5	60.0	0.526	-	-
Summary of Major Reforms:					
Credit Rating					
No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done	Mission Cities for which work awarded	Mission Cities for which work completed		
1	1	1	1		
Energy Efficiency					

No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights	
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others
01	01	Not yet	01	Not yet

Comments of IFD

The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. UT had exceeded the sanctioned cost of SAAP I & II in its DPRs cost. UT Govt has stated that the excess amount is being met from their own sources.

The release of funds against the SAAP-III will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.

Decision of Apex Committee

The Apex Committee noted that the SAAP III proposal of the State was in order, and the State Government had fulfilled all the conditions precedent to its approval. It was submitted by the UT Administration that central funds only are to come and Chandigarh being the only mission city, the transfer of funds is not an issue. The UT Govt also submitted that the projects being taken up against SAAP-III involve higher cost than allocated under the Mission but they will meet the excess cost from their own sources. Accordingly, SAAP III of the UT was approved subject to Central Assistance being limited to Rs 21.05 Cr.

Agenda Item No 5

West Bengal					
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
1104.86	1393.69	283	26	1999	59%
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released:110.49		Central Share transferred: *		
	State Share due: 110.49		State Share transferred:*		
SAAP II	Central Share released:128.40		Central Share transferred: *		
	State Share due: 128.40		State Share transferred:*		
* 75 % of total funds released against SAAP –I &II					
Summary of SAAP III					
SAAP Size			1536.45		
CA			734.89		
States Share			801.56		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	15	-	142	6	2

Amount (Rs. Cr)	1239.12	-	36.73	255.60	5.00
-----------------	---------	---	-------	--------	------

Summary of Major Reforms:

Credit Rating

No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done	Mission Cities for which work awarded	Mission Cities for which work completed
55 (60 as per Mission)	54	Under process	1

Energy Efficiency

No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights	
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others
55 (60)	54	0	55	0

Comments of IFD

The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. The state will be required to drop projects to bring down Central share in the total projects up to the Central share allocated for the entire Mission period.

The release of funds against the SAAP will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.

Decision of Apex Committee

The Apex Committee, considered the SAAP III proposal of the State to be in order. However, it was noted that the State Government has not fulfilled all the conditions precedent to the approval of the SAAP III. It was submitted by the State that a State agency has been functioning as PDMC and lack of PDMC has not at all hampered the progress of the Mission. The Apex Committee agreed to the submission in view of the progress in DPR preparation. However, The State had not met the conditions regarding award of contracts for credit rating and transfer of funds. It was accordingly decided to withhold approval of the SAAP till after the State reports desired progress.

Agenda item No 6

Goa					
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
59.44	69.60	30.74	52	114	88
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released:5.96			Central Share transferred: Nil	
	State Share due: 5.96			State Share transferred: Nil	
SAAP II	Central Share released:6.94			Central Share transferred: Nil	
	State Share due: 6.94			State Share transferred: Nil	

Summary of SAAP III

SAAP Size	80.14
CA	40.07
States Share	40.07

Project details:

Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	0	0	1	0	09
Amount (Rs.Cr)	0	0	2.00	0	78.14

Summary of Major Reforms:

Credit Rating

No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done	Mission Cities for which work awarded	Mission Cities for which work completed
1	1	1	0

Energy Efficiency

No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights	
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others
01	01	01	01	01

Comments of IFD

The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. The state will be required to drop projects to bring down Central share in the total projects up to the Central share allocated for the entire Mission period.

The release of funds against the SAAP will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.

Decision of Apex Committee

The Apex Committee, considered the SAAP III proposal of the State to be in order. However, it was noted that the State Government has not fulfilled all the conditions precedent to the approval of the SAAP III. The State has not released the Central and State shares of funds. It was accordingly decided to withhold approval of the SAAP till the State reports desired progress.

Agenda item No 7

Jammu & Kashmir

Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)

Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
171.00	197.33	57	33%	266.93	61%

Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II

SAAP I	Central Share released:30.77	Central Share transferred: 30.77
--------	------------------------------	----------------------------------

	State Share due:		State Share transferred:		
SAAP II	Central Share released:35.52		Central Share transferred: 35.52		
	State Share due:		State Share transferred:		
Summary of SAAP III					
SAAP Size		224.72			
CA		202.25			
States Share		22.47			
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	4	6	8	9	12
Amount (Rs. Cr)	34.49	38.42	7.87	71.99	71.95
Summary of Major Reforms:					
Credit Rating					
No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done	Mission Cities for which work awarded	Mission Cities for which work completed		
5	3	0	0		
Energy Efficiency					
No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights		
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	
5	0	0	0	0	
Comments of IFD					
<p>The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. The state will be required to drop projects to bring down Central share in the total projects up to the Central share allocated for the entire Mission period.</p> <p>The release of funds against the SAAP will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.</p>					
Decision of Apex Committee					
<p>The Apex Committee, noted that the SAAP III proposal of the State was in order. It also noted the submission by the State Govt that the RfP for credit rating is being issued soon and award will be given soon after and that the funds have been transferred along with the State share in full. The State also submitted that they may be allowed to conduct credit rating for three cities only as the other two cities, Leh and Kargil are very small. While agree to the submission of the State, the Apex Committee noted that the State Government has fulfilled all other conditions precedent to its approval including appointment of PDMC. Accordingly, SAAP III of the State was approved.</p>					

Agenda item No 8

Meghalaya
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)

Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
22.807	26.67	0	0	0	0
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released:4.11			Central Share transferred: 4.11	
	State Share due:0.46			State Share transferred:0.46	
SAAP II	Central Share released:4.80			Central Share transferred: 0	
	State Share due:0.53			State Share transferred: 0	
Summary of SAAP III					
SAAP Size			30.66		
CA			27.59		
States Share			3.07		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	-	1	4	-	-
Amount (Rs.Cr)	-	29.25	1.41	-	-
Summary of Major Reforms:					
Credit Rating					
No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done		Mission Cities for which work awarded		Mission Cities for which work completed
1	1		Under process		--
Energy Efficiency					
No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump			Street lights	
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others		Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others
1	1	-		1	-
Comments of IFD					
<p>The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. The state will be required to drop projects to bring down Central share in the total projects up to the Central share allocated for the entire Mission period.</p> <p>The release of funds against the SAAP will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.</p>					
Decision of Apex Committee					
<p>The Apex Committee, while, finding the SAAP III proposal of the State to be in order, noted that the State had not fulfilled the conditions of fund transfer, award of contracts, approval of DPRs and award of work for Credit Rating for the only Mission City. It was therefore decided to withhold approval of the proposal (SAAP III) till the desired progress is achieved by the State.</p>					

Agenda item No 9

Mizoram					
Summary of Progress (Amounts in Rs. Crore)					
Size of SAAP I	Size of SAAP II	Projects awarded	Project awarded as % of SAAP I	DPRs Approved	As % of Total SAAP
40.56	46.67	61.05	100	61.05	70
Status of fund transfer against SAAP I & II					
SAAP I	Central Share released:7.30			Central Share transferred: 7.30	
	State Share due:0.82			State Share transferred:0.82	
SAAP II	Central Share released:8.40			Central Share transferred: 8.40	
	State Share due:0.93			State Share transferred:0.93	
Summary of SAAP III					
SAAP Size			53.02		
CA			47.72		
States Share			5.3		
Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban mobility
No. of projects	2	2	2	2	2
Amount (Rs.Cr)	32.21	6.00	1.33	8.57	5.00
Summary of Major Reforms:					
Credit Rating					
No. of Mission Cities	Mission Cities for which Credit Rating being done	Mission Cities for which work awarded	Mission Cities for which work completed		
1	1	1	1		
Energy Efficiency					
No. of Mission Cities	Water Pump		Street lights		
	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	Mission cities identified	Mission cities tied up with EESL/ others	
1	1	1	1	1	
Comments of IFD					
<p>The total of tendered cost of projects against the 1st and 2nd SAAP shall not be beyond the sanctioned cost and, any adjustment, if required, will be made in the 3rd SAAP. There shall be no over-commitment of Central share in all 3 SAAPs put together beyond the Central share indicated by the Ministry for the entire Mission period. The state will be required to drop projects to bring down Central share in the total projects up to the Central share allocated for the entire Mission period.</p> <p>The release of funds against the SAAP will depend upon the budgetary allocation to the Mission.</p>					
Decision of Apex Committee					
<p>The Apex Committee, noted that the SAAP III proposal of the State was in order and that the State Government had fulfilled all the conditions precedent to its approval including appointment of PDMC. Accordingly, SAAP III of the State was approved.</p>					

Agenda item No 10

Puducherry

No officer from the UT Government was present and therefore the Agenda was deferred.

Agenda item No 11

SAAP of Delhi for the year 2016 – 17.

The Apex Committee, after consideration, approved the SAAP for 2016-17 of Delhi. The details are as below:

State	SAAP size	Central Govt. share
Delhi	Rs.265.73 crore	Rs.265.73 crore

Project details:					
Sector	Water	Sewerage	Parks	Drainage	Urban Transport
Amount (Rs.Cr)	101.12	150	6.69	7.92	0

Common Conditions for all SAAPs :

- i. The State/UT Govts. shall, in respect of each project, explore the possibility of funding through PPP mode, annuity based funding as well as utilising the funds available to the ULBs through State Finance Commission and 14th Finance Commission. An analysis of such options in respect of each project shall be presented by the Mission Directorate before the SHPSC at the time of seeking approval for projects.
- ii. In case the funding in a project through these sources has not been tied up, the SHPSC should satisfy itself about the reasons for the same.
- iii. The State/UT Govts shall ensure that the works are executed through the ULBs. In case the works are to be executed through para-statal agencies, the process and conditions specified in the Mission Guidelines in this regard shall be strictly followed.
- iv. State Govts. need to clearly indicate about the availability of Land and other clearances. No projects should be approved by State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) which do not have land available and no work order should be issued till receipt of all clearances from all concerned departments/authorities.
- v. Re-cycling/re-use of waste water and reduction of NRW should be given focus while approving DPRs.
- vi. The State Govts. should ensure convergence between the AMRUT, Smart Cities, SBM, other related Schemes and Externally aided projects according to Mission Guidelines.

- vii. Estimates in the SAAP should be based on prevailing official schedule of rates and not on market rates.
- viii. Implementation of reforms will make States/UTs eligible for annual incentive. In order to get incentives reforms should be broken up into activities with timelines and sent to TCPO by the State Mission Director.
- ix. The approvals towards Urban Mobility shall not be used for procurement of buses.
- x. No incomplete JnNURM projects should be taken up in AMRUT and the SLTC will ensure adherence to the Guidelines.
- xi. In case, the appraised cost of projects in previous SAAP exceeds the approved SAAP size for the year, the SAAP may be limited to approved SAAP size by removing some projects. Such projects may either be taken up in the next of SAAP or taken up by the State Govt. through convergence with other schemes or borne by the State/UT Government.
- xii. States/UTs must ensure that there is no duplicity of projects/work and projects/item of works already approved under previous SAAP is not form the part of SAAP again.

List of Participant in the meeting of the 15th Apex Committee under AMRUT held on 21.12.2016

1. Shri Rajiv Gauba, Secretary (UD), MoUD - Chairman
2. Shri Durga Shanker Mishra, AS(UD), MoUD.
3. Shri Neeraj Mandloi, Joint Secretary (UD&A), MoUD- National Mission Director.
4. Shri G. Mathi Vathanan, Secretary, H&UD, Odisha.
5. Shri S.K. Ratho, Spl. Secretary & Mission Director, Odisha
6. Shri Vivek Aggarwal, Secretary and Commissioner UD&HD, Madhya Pradesh.
7. Shri K. Kannababu, Director, Andhra Pradesh.
8. Shri Sandeep Mishra, Spl. Secy (UD), Govt of Delhi
9. Shri Shiv Pal Singh, Director(AMRUT), MoUD.
10. Shri Pramod Kumar, Director(UD), MoUD.
11. Shri Jagan Shah, Director, NIUA.
12. Shri G. Ravinder, Dy Secy. (NURM), MoUD.
13. Smt. Yashodhara Vijayan, DS, Finance, MoUD .
14. Shri Swayan Chaudhary , MD & CEO, Mission Director AMRUT, GOA.
15. Shri Hirdesh Kumar Singh, Spl. Secretary Cum MD, H & UDD, Jammu & Kashmir.
16. MS. Mitra Chatterjee, SMD, West Bengal
17. Shri Joseph H. Lalramsanga, director, UD & PA, Mizoram
18. Shri G. Kondala , CE, Andhra Pradesh.
19. Shri B. Dutta, Director, Urban Affairs Deptt. Meghalaya.
20. Shri James Lalnunmawia, Jt. Dir, UD&PA, Mizoram
21. Shri J.K Kapoor, Associate, TCPO.
22. Shri Naresh Kr Dhiran, Town & Country Planner, TCPO.
23. Shri Prabha Kant, E-in-C, Urban Development Madhya Pradesh.
24. Shri Amot Das, Chief Engineer,
25. Shri S. C. Sharma, Sr. Consultant, Ministry of Drinking Water & Sanitation.
26. Shri. S.K Bhardwaj, D.J.B. Delhi.
27. Shri P.K Jain, SE(Proj)Water, D.J.B
28. Ms. Annie Zohmanaihi, UIS, UD&PA Dept, Mizoram.
29. Shri Vikram Singh, CE(Project), D.J.B.
30. Shri R. Ranga Rajan, Project Officer (UD), Dept of U.D, Govt of Delhi.
31. Shri Rajesh Rajan, Dy. Secy. Dept of Urban Development, Govt of Delhi.
32. Shri. U.K. Karan, EE(HQ), MED, Govt of West Bengal.
33. Shri Amoy Morang, Director, Arunachal Pradesh.
34. Shri B.K. Panda, Meghalaya.